

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/796,280	03/10/2004	Michele Cargill	CL001510	9662
25748	7590 03/13/2006		EXAMINER	
CELERA GENOMICS			GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE	
ATTN: WAYNE MONTGOMERY, VICE PRES, INTEL PROPERTY 45 WEST GUDE DRIVE C2-4#20			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
ROCKVILLE	, MD 20850		DATE MAILED: 03/13/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/796,280	CARGILL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeanine A. Goldberg	1634
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/10/0 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the darwing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/796,280 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-6, 21-22drawn to a method for identifying an individual who has an altered risk for developing stenosis using a SNP, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 7-9, 13-20 drawn to a nucleic acid, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - III. Claims 10, drawn to a polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - IV. Claims 11-12, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
 - V. Claims 23, drawn to a method for detecting a variant polypeptide,classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - VI. Claim 24, drawn to a method of identifying an agent classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- A) Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acid may be used in a materially different method such as purification and isolation, aptamer screening methods, compound screening methods or antisense methods.

B) The inventions of Groups II, III, and IV are patentably distinct because they are drawn to different products having different structures and functions. The nucleic acid of Group II is composed of nucleotides linked in phospodiester bonds and arranged in space as a double helix. The polypeptide of Group III is composed of amino acids linked in peptide bonds and arranged spatially in a number of different tertiary structures including alpha helices, beta-pleated sheets, and hydrophobic loops (transmembrane domain). The antibody of Group IV is also composed of amino acids linked in peptide bonds and arranged spatially in a very specific tertiary structure that allows that antibody to specifically bind to particular regions, i.e. epitopes, of the encoded polypeptide. Further, antibodies are glycosylated and their tertiary structure is unique, where four subunits (2 light chains and 2 heavy chains) associated via disulfide bonds into a Y-shaped symmetric dimer. Furthermore, the products of Groups II, III, and IV can be used in materially different processes, for example, the DNA of Group II can be used in hybridization assays, the antibody of Group IV can be used in immunoassay, the polypeptide of Group III can be used to make fusion protein with an enzymatic function. Consequently, the reagents, reaction conditions, and reaction parameters required to make or use each invention are different. Furthermore, searching the inventions of groups III and II together would impose a serious search burden. In the instant case, the search of the polypeptides and the polynucleotides are not

coextensive. The inventions of Groups III and II have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. In cases such as this one where descriptive sequence information is provided, the sequences are searched in appropriate databases. There is search burden also in the non-patent literature. Prior to the concomitant isolation and expression of the sequence of interest there may be journal articles devoted solely to polypeptides which would not have described the polynucleotide. Similarly, there may have been "classical" genetics papers which had no knowledge of the polypeptide but spoke to the gene. Searching, therefore is not coextensive.

A polypeptide and an antibody which binds to the polypeptide require different searches. An amino acid sequence search of the full-length protein is necessary for a determination of novelty and unobviousness of the protein. However, such a search is not required to identify the antibodies of group IV. Furthermore, antibodies which bind to an epitope of a polypeptide of group III may be known even if a polypeptide of group III is novel. The technical literature search for the polypeptide of group III and the antibody of group IV are not coextensive, e.g., antibodies may be characterized in the technical literature prior to discovery of or sequence of their binding target.

Therefore, the inventions of Groups II, III, and IV are patentably distinct from each other.

C) The inventions of Group I, V, VI are patentably distinct methods because they each have different objectives, different uses, different reagents and different method steps. The method of Group I is for detecting nucleic acids. Alternatively, the method of Group V is for detecting polypeptides and the method of Group VI is for detecting

agents by detecting binding. Furthermore, the distinct steps and products require separate and distinct searches. Therefore the methods are distinct over one another.

- D) Group (III, IV) and Group I are patentable distinct inventions because the antibodies and polypeptides of Group III and IV are not relied upon in the method of Group I. Instead Group I uses nucleic acids. Therefore, the inventions are novel and unobvious over one another.
- E) Group (II and IV) and Group (V and VI) are patentable distinct inventions because the antibodies and nucleic acids of Group I and IV are not relied upon in the method of Group V or VI. Instead Group V and VI use polypeptides. Therefore, the inventions are novel and unobvious over one another
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by the different classifications and their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Further a search of each of these inventions would not be coextensive of a search for each of the other inventions.

Restriction Requirement Applicable to All Groups Requiring Patentably Distinct Sequence:

SEQUENCE RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

The claims are drawn to polymorphic sequences. Each group named above is subject to further restriction. Each group detailed above reads on patentably distinct

polymorphic nucleic acid sequences and amino acid sequence. Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, and a further restriction is applied to each group.

Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, i.e. these sequences are unrelated because the protein encoded by these sequences differ in structure and in function and in biological activity. Further, even where the nucleic acid changes have no effect on protein structure or function, these sequences themselves represent allelic variations which have different diagnostic and therapeutic implications.

For an elected group drawn to a polymorphic nucleic acid sequence, the applicant must further elect a polymorphic nucleic acid sequence or an elected group drawn to an amino acid sequence, the applicant must further elect a amino acid.

Applicant is further required to distinctly point out the location in the drawings, figures, or SEQ IDS of the instant application to which the elected sequence is drawn. Please include in the selection of a sequence or specific combination of sequence the SEQ ID(s), the Genebank numbers) (or any other identifier), the table or figure number, and the row or column location in the table.

This is NOT an election of species. Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are presumed to represent an independent and distinct

invention, subject to restriction requirement pursuant to 35 USC 121 and 37 CFR 1.141. By statute, "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the Commissioner may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions." 35 U.S.C. 121. Pursuant to this statute, the rules provide that '[]]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in a single application, the examiner in his action shall require the applicant... to elect that invention to which his claim shall be restricted." 37 CFR 1.142 (a). See also 37 CFR 1.141(a). It is noted that searching more than one of the claimed patentably distinct sequences represents a serious burden for the office.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the nucleic acids and/or combinations of nucleic acids are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evident or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variant or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other inventions.

Notice for Rejoinder

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of

the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,280 Page 9

Art Unit: 1634

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jeanine Goldberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-0743. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (571) 272- 0745.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Central Fax Number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Jeanine Goldberg
Primary Examiner

March 7, 2006